

**Summary contents**

**Introduction ..... 1**

**Chapter 1 The Anatomy of Autonomy: Terms and Models.....5**

**Chapter 2 Of Uses and Abuses: The German Minority in  
Hungary ..... 61**

**Chapter 3 The Freedom of the Turtle: French Polynesia ..... 149**

**Perspectives ..... 227**



**Table of contents**

Acknowledgements ..... IX

Summary contents ..... XI

Table of contents ..... XIII

List of abbreviations ..... XIX

Bibliography ..... XXIII

    Legal materials ..... XXIII

    Other materials ..... XXXIII

    Websites ..... LIX

**Introduction ..... 1**

**Chapter 1 The Anatomy of Autonomy: Terms and Models..... 5**

1.1 The concept of autonomy ..... 7

    The law of the community ..... 7

    Ownership of the community ..... 8

1.2 Autonomy and international law ..... 10

    a) Self-determination ..... 11

        Indeterminacy ..... 12

    b) Minority protection ..... 14

        Weak wording and elusive autonomy ..... 15

        Effective participation ..... 17

    c) Indigenous peoples ..... 20

        A right to autonomy ..... 21

        No general right to autonomy ..... 22

---

|   |    |
|---|----|
| d) Self-government.....   | 25 |
| Local self-government in Europe .....   | 25 |
| The Charter and autonomy .....  | 27 |
| Details of autonomy.....  | 29 |
| e) Implications of international law .....  | 30 |
| 1.3 Conceptions of autonomy .....   | 33 |
| a) International legal scholars and autonomy .....  | 33 |
| Variety and context.....  | 35 |
| b) On the trail of Ulysses, Aeneas, and the <i>Taucher</i> : a<br>broad conception of autonomy régimes..... | 37 |
| Dawning.....  | 38 |
| 1.4 Models of autonomy .....  | 40 |
| a) Previous efforts to create models of autonomy .....  | 40 |
| i) The horizontal approach.....   | 40 |
| Full autonomy.....  | 40 |
| Factors of success .....  | 42 |
| Nordic knowledge.....   | 44 |
| Experiences.....  | 46 |
| Recommendations from Lund .....   | 46 |
| ii) In-depth studies .....  | 49 |
| iii) Indicator models .....   | 50 |
| b) Devising the idea of a model of autonomy .....   | 51 |
| What is a model? .....  | 52 |
| Exemplary character .....   | 54 |
| Qualifications.....   | 55 |
| Causation .....   | 57 |
| Model traits of autonomy régimes.....   | 58 |
| Effective extrapolation is impossible .....   | 58 |
| 1.5 Concluding observations .....   | 60 |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>Chapter 2 Of Uses and Abuses: The German Minority<br/>in Hungary .....</b> | <b>61</b> |
| 2.1 The basics.....   | 63        |
| The Hungarian German minority .....   | 65        |
| 2.2 The autonomy régime of the Hungarian German minority.....                 | 68        |
| a) The constitution of identity.....  | 69        |
| i) The backbone: a three-tier system of minority self-<br>government .....    | 69        |
| An example: Babarc .....  | 71        |
| The three original modes of constituting a minority<br>self-government .....  | 72        |
| The upper levels of minority self-government .....                            | 73        |
| ii) Double identification: the group and the individual.....                  | 75        |
| The identity of the group .....   | 75        |
| Three inconsistencies.....  | 77        |
| The identity of the individual .....  | 80        |
| Freedom of identity misunderstood.....  | 81        |
| Persons not territory.....  | 83        |
| iii) The powers under the autonomy régime .....                               | 85        |
| The principles .....  | 88        |
| Minority education .....  | 88        |
| A Hungarian German school .....   | 91        |
| Space for culture.....  | 93        |
| Speaking identity .....   | 94        |
| Media.....  | 96        |
| b) Resources: financing the autonomy régime.....                              | 99        |
| How much does it cost?.....   | 101       |
| c) Voicing the needs.....   | 103       |
| i) National minority self-governments.....                                    | 103       |
| ii) The minority ombudsperson.....  | 105       |
| iii) Representation in Parliament and in other institutions.....              | 107       |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| The homogeneity and the “Republican” argument.....                     | 108        |
| iv) The kin State.....   | 110        |
| Bilateral agreements .....   | 111        |
| The lack of a kin State .....  | 112        |
| d) Controlling the autonomous.....                                     | 113        |
| Control over the autonomy régimes .....                                | 113        |
| Day-to-day control.....  | 116        |
| e) A symbiotic autonomy régime.....                                    | 117        |
| 2.3 Factors having an impact on the autonomy régime.....               | 120        |
| a) History: <i>angst</i> of registers.....                             | 120        |
| b) The diaspora.....   | 123        |
| Reasons for Hungary’s engagement .....                                 | 124        |
| Reciprocity expectations and credibility .....                         | 125        |
| The trigger function .....   | 127        |
| c) Runaway integration .....   | 128        |
| Runaway integration vs. a lack of integration: the<br>Roma issue.....  | 130        |
| Reflections in the autonomy régime .....                               | 132        |
| d) Membership in the European Union .....                              | 133        |
| Monitoring procedures as legal channels for political<br>leverage..... | 135        |
| Ramifications for the autonomy régimes.....                            | 136        |
| e) An answer to the question of diversity .....                        | 138        |
| 2.4 Model traits of the autonomy régime .....                          | 140        |
| A macro model trait.....   | 140        |
| A micro model trait.....   | 143        |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 3 The Freedom of the Turtle: French Polynesia .....</b>     | <b>149</b> |
| 3.1 About the turtle .....   | 152        |
| Of heroes and villains .....   | 153        |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 3.2 The autonomy régime of French Polynesia .....   | 156 |
| a) The Republic and the autonomy régime .....   | 156 |
| b) Pieces of a French Polynesian identity .....   | 160 |
| i) The actors .....   | 160 |
| The executive.....  | 160 |
| The assembly .....  | 161 |
| ii) identity <i>stricto sensu</i> .....   | 164 |
| iii) Powers and preferences .....   | 165 |
| <i>Spécialité législative</i> .....   | 166 |
| Explicit competences of French Polynesia.....   | 167 |
| Employment and land.....  | 168 |
| iv) Resources .....   | 172 |
| c) Tones of a voice of French Polynesia .....   | 175 |
| Participation in the State competences .....  | 175 |
| Consultation.....   | 176 |
| Foreign policy powers .....   | 177 |
| Representation in the central institutions.....   | 179 |
| d) The strings of the Republic.....   | 180 |
| The veto over the autonomy régime .....   | 181 |
| The high commissioner .....   | 182 |
| Dissolution of the French Polynesian assembly by the<br>French President .....                            | 184 |
| The courts .....  | 185 |
| e) A modest autonomy régime .....   | 188 |
| 3.3 Factors having an impact on the autonomy régime.....  | 190 |
| a) Decolonization, international law, decentralization, and<br>the evolution of the autonomy régime ..... | 190 |
| (De)colonization .....  | 191 |
| Secession .....   | 192 |
| Evolution of the autonomy régime .....  | 193 |
| The role of international law .....   | 196 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| b) <i>Unité</i> and <i>indivisibilité</i> of the Republic ..... | 198        |
| c) Politics and persons .....                                   | 200        |
| The rift .....  | 200        |
| The political figures.....                                      | 201        |
| The style of politics .....                                     | 205        |
| Reflections of politics and persons.....                        | 207        |
| d) <i>La bombe</i> .....  | 209        |
| Dependency on financial aid .....                               | 210        |
| Echoes in the autonomy régime.....                              | 211        |
| The gratification logic .....                                   | 213        |
| e) The false <i>doppelgänger</i> : New Caledonia.....           | 214        |
| Differences.....  | 214        |
| Correlations between New Caledonia and French<br>Polynesia..... | 216        |
| The logic of parallelism.....                                   | 218        |
| f) A complex situation.....                                     | 219        |
| 3.4 Model traits of the autonomy régime .....                   | 221        |
| A macro model trait.....  | 222        |
| A micro model trait.....  | 223        |
| A long-term approach.....                                       | 224        |
| <br>  |            |
| <b>Perspectives .....</b>                                       | <b>227</b> |
| Four model traits of the examined autonomy régimes .....        | 227        |
| Sloterdijk's ironic pitfall.....                                | 229        |
| The final argument.....   | 230        |